

Purpose of this session

- What is the added value of group
- “Long list of work areas” – fully captured?
- Can we prioritise and agree select number of activities that could form Yr 1 workplan – plus something for next meeting?
- Are we able to work up some of these areas in more detail?
 - Purpose of proposed activity?
 - Who would be involved?
 - How to develop?
- Next steps

What is added value of STWVG?

- Unique community of practice on transition and sustainability with sector wide lens and across agencies. Wide range of partners – Countries/academics/development agencies multi and bilateral.
- Countries bring reality of how different agencies' policies and practices play out on the ground.
- Experience and seniority of members with institutional leverage on policy and organisational responses. Members can build expertise and influence thinking on transition and sustainability in their own organisation/context and with others.
- Links to UHC 2030 brings further political leverage.
- Can build emerging consensus and importance of looking at whole sector issues as well as able to focus on programmatic and financial sustainability. Transition and sustainability provides an entry point into sector challenges and solutions to financial and institutional issues.

Areas of work?

- **Planning for and experience of transition**
 - How to work better together (e.g. joint planning, one assessment, harmonised support)
 - More country information/mapping on transitioning countries – which countries, where etc)
 - Collect country experience during transition to inform transitional approaches and behaviours (e.g. country case studies)
- **Technical and institutional issues to enable and support HSS**
 - Peer to peer country exchange and support e.g social contracting
 - Streamlining access to support - legal, financing/benefit package, medicines, supply
 - Identifying new and common technical issues for learning e.g. integration, efficiency

Areas of work?

- **Political influencing**

- Is transition working well and what should change – elevating issues and changing agency behaviour and rules
- Political economy of transition at the country level (e.g. civil society role contribution to addressing political commitment to health)
- Learning from country experience e.g. generating political priority for health, KPs, in complex transitions

Other tasks?

- Is there a need to articulate the position of the group?
- Finalise mapping exercise
- Knowledge sharing e.g. repository of reports/assessment etc
- How to take forward the priority areas?

Areas the WG will not work on

- Harmonising tools for assessment and transition
- New tools and guidelines
- Studies without related capacity building
- Build pool of experts on transition
- Agreeing terminology

- Any key ideas missed out?